Evaluation of sensitivity to zinc and copper of *Diophrys* appendiculata (Protozoa, Ciliophora) and their associated bacteria, both isolated from a tropical polluted bay

José Augusto Pires Bitencourt^{1,*}, Daniella da Costa Pereira², Inácio Domingos da Silva-Neto³, Mirian Araujo Carlos Crapez² & Jose Antonio Baptista Neto¹

¹Universidade Federal Fluminense, Laboratório de Geologia Marinha, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Dinâmica dos Oceanos e da Terra.

²Universidade Federal Fluminense, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Marinha e Ambientes Costeiros, Laboratório de Ecologia Microbiana.

³Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Departamento de Zoologia, Laboratório de Protistologia.

*Corresponding author: jbitencourt@gmail.com.

Abstract. Ciliates are an essential component of microbial food webs, connecting biomass production to higher trophic levels and providing substrates for bacterial growth. Bacteria are widespread in sea sediment and the first to metabolize organic matter. Heavy metals are toxic and bind to particulate organic matter. This work aims to study the influence of heavy metals (Zn and Cu) on *D. appendiculata* and their naturally associated bacteria, both from Guanabara Bay during 96 h bioassay with 0, 0.001, 0.009, 0.05, 0.1 mg L⁻¹ and maximum concentration stipulated by CONAMA 357 (Zn 5.0; Cu 1.0 mg L⁻¹). It was analyzed for tolerance, resistance and biomass production. In Zn control, ciliate produced 1.24×10^2 - 2.47×10^3 µg C cm⁻³ (0-96 h), with 0.009 mg Zn L⁻¹ reaches 2.49×10^2 - 1.93×10^3 µg C cm⁻³ (0-96 h). Their naturally associated bacteria, in Zn control, produced 5.0×10^{-2} - 5.67×10^{-2} µg C cm⁻³ (0-96 h), with 0.1 mg Zn L⁻¹ 4.87×10^{-2} - 8.38×10^{-2} µg C cm⁻³ (0-96 h). In Cu control, *D. appendiculata* produced 1.04×10^2 - 3.12×10^2 µg C cm⁻³ (0-96 h), with 0.009 mg Gu L⁻¹ biomass was 8.31×10^{1} - 7.27×10^{-2} µg C cm⁻³ (0-96 h) and with CONAMA concentration was below detection level. Their naturally associated bacteria, in Cu control, produced 1.20×10^{-2} - 5.14×10^{-2} µg C cm⁻³ (0-96 h) and with 0.1 mg Cu L⁻¹ 7.40×10^{-4} - 3.81×10^{-2} µg C cm⁻³ (0-96 h), with CONAMA concentration 5.14×10^{-2} µg C cm⁻³ (96 h). *D. appendiculata* are tolerant to 0.09 mg L⁻¹ and resistant to 0.1 mg L⁻¹ after 24 h to Zn-Cu and LD50 stipulated was 1.17 (Zn) 0.90 (Cu) mg L⁻¹. Microbial loop was functional in low concentration of Zn and Cu, but their diversity in nature was affected.

Keywords: Bacteria, Free Living Protist, Guanabara Bay, Heavy Metals, Microbial loop, .

INTRODUCTION

Ciliates are an essential component of microbial food webs. They show great growth potential due to the high rate of metabolism that facilitates carbon and energy flux through components of the ecosystems (FENCHEL, 1987; SHERR & SHERR, 1994). Plus, their phagotrophic activities, egestion metabolites, both as dissolved and particulate organic matter, which include dissolved nutrients, particularly ammonium and phosphate (CARON, 1991; DOLAN, 1997), act as important influences in some bacterial processes

(NAGATA & KIRCHMAN, 1992a, 1992b). Thus, protist grazing provides substrates for the further growth of prey, which includes bacteria (JUMARS *et al.*, 1989; CHRISTAKIU *et al.*, 1999).

Bacteria are widespread in sea sediment in large numbers (approximately 10¹⁰ cells.g⁻¹). They possess a high surface to volume ratio, which is indicative of high metabolic rates. Dissolved inorganic and organic substrates are metabolized with high substrate affinity and specificity. Particulate organic matter is decomposed in close contact with the substrate by using hydrolytic bacterial enzymes (SOBRINHO DA SILVA *et al.*, 2010; GUERRA *et al.*, 2011).

Particulate organic matter has a high affinity to binding heavy metals (ADRIANO, 2001). This is a group of toxic metals that have densities equal to or greater than 5 g cm⁻³ (ADRIANO, 2001). In highly industrialized areas, this pollutant is the main contributor of environmental degradation, and it reaches the seas through rivers, sludge, garbage or air (NORDBERG et al., 2007). Such contamination can affect the health of man in various ways, and the main source of heavy metals may be trophic chain through the biomagnification process. This is the natural uptake of metals by organisms in the environment, including background concentrations (CORNELIS & NORDBERG, 2007). The microbial loop is included in this context, however there are few studies on this subject (FISHER & REINFELDER, 1995; FISHER et al., 2000; WANG & RAINBOW, 2005), and this activity depends on the resistance or tolerance of microorganisms that are near sources of heavy metals.

This work aims to study the influence of heavy metals (Zn and Cu) on *Diophrys appendiculata* (Ehrenberg, 1838) Schewiakoff, 1893 and their naturally associated bacteria isolated from sediment of Guanabara Bay during 96 h bioassay, to assess resistance, tolerance of them, and to obtain a predictive base of microbial loop activity with Zn and Cu stress, by biomass production of both microorganisms, with Zn and Cu input.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Site study and sampling methods

Guanabara Bay is a complex tropical estuarine environment in Rio de Janeiro State, Southeast Brazil between 22°40`-23°00`S, 043°00`-043°18`W. It is one of the largest bays along the Brazilian coastline, with an area of approximately 384 km². In the past, each of the 55 rivers might have created a single estuary that was distinct from the others (AMADOR, 2012). These estuaries originated the estuarine system of Guanabara Bay (RIBEIRO & KJERFVE, 2002). However, due to human and industrial occupation, the bay lost its natural characteristics and diversity, being reduced by four large and well-demarcated areas, with different degrees of environmental pollution, as suggested by data collected (JICA, 1994; KJERFVE ET AL., 1997; CARREIRA ET AL., 2002; RIBEIRO & Kjerfve, 2002; Amador, 2012, 2013; Baptista NETO et al., 2013) and proposed by (BAPTISTA NETO et al., 2006). Moreover, the bay has a complex bathymetry with a relatively flat central channel that is 400 m wide, stretches more than 5 km into the bay, and is defined by the 30 m isobaths. The deepest point of the bay (58 m) is located within this channel (KJERFVE et al., 1997).

The drainage basin of Guanabara Bay has an area of 4,080 km². It is comprised of 32 separate sub-watersheds (KJERFVE *et al.*, 1997) and 55 rivers that carry 4,000,000 tons year⁻¹ of solid material (JICA, 1994; AMADOR, 2012); however, only six

rivers are responsible for 85 % of the 100 m³ s⁻¹ total mean annual freshwater input (JICA, 1994). Currently, 11 million inhabitants live in the Rio de Janeiro metropolitan area, which discharges tons of untreated sewage directly into the bay (CARREIRA *et al.*, 2002; RIBEIRO & KJERFVE, 2002). There are more than 12,000 industries located in the drainage basin, accounting for 25 % of the organic pollution released into the bay. The bay also hosts two oil refineries along its shore that process 7 % of the oil used nationally and is the homeport of two naval bases, a shipyard, and a large number of ferries, fishing boats, and yachts (FEEMA, 1990).

In this study, sediments were sampled at the closest region of Paquetá Island (22º46.9 S 43º06.7 W); this site has fine sand, coarse silt, and an input of approximated 458 g C m⁻² year⁻¹ of organic matter (CARREIRA *et al.*, 2002). Their Zn and Cu concentration is approximately 256.67 and 45 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. The organic matter and organic carbon content are approximately 19.77 % and 2.46 mmol C g⁻¹ of sediment. These parameters display little variability between the dry and wet seasons (CARREIRA *et al.*, 2002; RIBEIRO & KJERFVE, 2002; BAPTISTA NETO *et al.*, 2006; FONSECA *et al.*, 2013).

The sediment samples were obtained using an Eckman sampler and were stored for 2 h in sealed polythene bags, conditioned in a dark container with low temperature (4 °C) to reduce microbial metabolism (CETESB, 2012), and were transported to the laboratory. The sediment samples were prepared in Petri dishes according to DRAGESCO & DRAGESCO KERNÉIS (1986) and incubated at 25 °C in the dark for two weeks. *D. appendiculata* was picked from Petri dishes using glass micropipettes and was maintained in the laboratory on a plate with liquid medium containing coarse powdered rice (DRAGESCO & DRAGESCO KERNÉIS, 1986); to

reduce contamination of the cultures by other microorganisms, the grains of rice and seawater were sterilized (MADONI *et al.*, 1992; MADONI *et al.*, 1994; MADONI, 2000; MADONI & ROMEO, 2006). The identification of the protists was performed using a classical methodology based on structural features (TUFFRAU, 1960; CURDS, 1975; CURDS & WU, 1983; LYNN, 2008), such as cell morphologic characteristics of the oral and somatic infraciliatures and dorsal argyromes. These features were visualized by the carbonate silver impregnation Protargol method (SILVA-NETO, 2000) and a modified Chatton-Lwoff method (FRANKEL & HECKMANN, 1968).

Bioassays and analysis

The assay was carried out with separated Zn and Cu, in triplicate, along 0, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h with and without metal exposure (control group). The assay was carried out in sterile 24well polystyrene plates without supplementary nutrients. Plates were incubated at 25°C in the dark. Final zinc and copper concentrations used, in triplicate, were 0, 0.001, 0.009, 0.05, 0.1 mg L⁻¹, and higher concentrations were 5.0 (Zn) and 1.0 (Cu) mg L⁻¹, such CONAMA reference (BRASIL, 2005). For the Zn and Cu solution assay, analytical-grade pure zinc sulfate (ZnSO₄.7H₂O) and copper sulfate (CuSo₄.5H₂0) from Sigma-Aldrich Corp. were used as the source of metal ions. A solution of metal salt in the assay was diluted with sterile seawater (MADONI et al., 1992; MADONI, 2000; MADONI & ROMEO, 2006; DA SILVA et al., 2014) and the pH of the tested solutions was 8.0 and did not require any adjustment.

For each metal concentration test, 30 ciliates were used. Single ciliates were picked from 72 h culture with a glass micropipette, washed repeatedly in drops of sterile seawater, and individually inoculated into each well containing 2.0 ml of filtered (cellulose ester Millipore membrane, 0.44 μ m pore diameter). The same procedure without the metal solution was performed as the control group.

D. appediculata and their naturally associated bacterial biomass were individually quantified as the organic carbon content (µg C cm⁻³). Each well content from polystyrene plates was single fixed with paraformoldeyde (4% final concentration) and filtered through sterile Isopore polycarbonate Millipore membrane (0.22 µm pore diameter) and stained with fluorochrome acridine orange at a vacuum not exceeding 3 kPa. Intact and healthy cells were enumerated at epifluorescent microscopy at x1000 magnification (Axioskop 1, Zeiss, triple filter Texas Red – DAPI – fluorescein isothiocyanate). With this staining method with UV wavelength light, the bacterial physiology healthy cell will emit green light, and protists healthy will emit green or orange (MIRRETT, 1982; CARLUCCI et al., 1986). The data obtained was converted to bacterial and ciliate volume following methodology proposed by KEPNER & PRATT (1994) and GOMES et al. (2007). This content was converted to bacterial organic carbon by 1.2x10⁻¹⁴ g C cm⁻³ (CARLUCCi et al., 1986) and converted to ciliate organic carbon by 0.22×10^{-3} g C cm⁻³ (MAUCLAIRE *et al.*, 2003).

The lethality was estimated by lethal concentration for the 50% of the *D. appediculata* (LD50 - probit) under 96h of bioassays in accordance with BLISS (1934a, 1934b); COSTA *et al.* (2008); DA SILVA *et al.* (2014). These analyses and LD50 were performed using the SPSS 21 program. To contrast the biomass content from the microorganism along different metallic and concentration exposure, we used ANOVA parametric test with the bootstrap

(x1000) and Tukey post hoc test. The biomass data were transformed using the ranging method.

RESULTS

During Zn bioassay control, biomass of *D*. appediculata content began with $1.24 \times 10^2 \mu g C cm^{-3}$ and was enhanced during assay, showing 1.33×10^3 (48 h) and $2.47 \times 10^3 \mu g C cm^{-3}$ (96 h). In contact with 0.001 mg Zn L⁻¹ the biomass of *D*. appediculata enhanced from $1.90 \times 10^2 \mu g C cm^{-3}$ (0 h) to higher biomass content at 48 h ($2.00 \times 10^3 \mu g C cm^{-3}$), and was reduced to $1.40 \times 10^3 \mu g C cm^{-3}$ at 96 h. With 0.009 mg Zn L⁻¹, their highest biomass ($2.18 \times 10^3 \mu g C cm^{-3}$) was pointed at 24-48 h of bioassay and decreased to $1.93 \times 10^3 \mu g C cm^{-3}$ at 96 h. In contact with 0.1 mg Zn L⁻¹, *D*. appediculata biomass content was $2.49 \times 10^2 \mu g C cm^{-3}$ (0 h) and enhanced up to $2.00 \times 10^3 \mu g C cm^{-3}$ at 96 h (Figure 1a).

The naturally associated bacteria of D. appediculata in Zn bioassay and under ciliate's predation process had higher concentration of biomass content at 24-48 h. In control, higher bacterial biomass was reached at 24 h of assay (1.13x10⁻¹ µg C cm⁻³) and decreasing content to 5.62x10⁻² µg C cm⁻³ (96 h). In contact with 0.001 and 0.009 mg Zn L⁻¹ highest biomass content was pointed at 48 h (1.62 and $1.45 \times 10^{-1} \, \mu g \, C \, cm^{-3}$) and decreased along 96 h of assay to 8.38 (0.001 mg Zn L⁻¹) and 5.60x10⁻² µg C cm⁻³ (0.009 mg Zn L⁻¹). In bioassay with 0.05, 0.1 and 1.0 mg L⁻¹, the highest ciliate biomass contents were, respectively, 1.34, 1.05 and 1.50 $\times 10^{-1} \mu g$ C cm⁻³ were pointed at 24 h, decreasing to 6.20, 8.39 and 5.15x10⁻² (0.05, 0.1, 1.0 mg Zn L⁻¹) at 96 h. With 5.0 mg Zn L⁻¹, the naturally associated bacteria maintained their biomass content along 0, 24, 48 h of assay, with $4.64 \times 10^{-2} \mu g C cm^{-3}$ and decreased to $3.41 \times 10^{-2} \mu g C$





cm⁻³ at 96h (Figure 2a).

In Cu bioassay, biomass of *D. appediculata* content began with $1.04 \times 10^2 \ \mu g \ C \ cm^{-3}$ at 0 h and enhanced to $3.12 \times 10^2 \ \mu g \ C \ cm^{-3}$ at 72-96h. Exposition to more of Cu concentration stimulated *D. appediculata* biomass enhancement along the first 48 h of assay, 8.31×10^{1} -7.06 $\times 10^{2} \ \mu g \ C \ cm^{-3}$ (0.001 Cu L⁻¹) 8.31×10^{1} -12.26 $\times 10^{2} \ \mu g \ C \ cm^{-3}$ (0.009 mg Cu L⁻¹), 2.01×10^{1} -4.36 $\times 10^{2} \ \mu g \ C \ cm^{-3}$ (0.1 mg Cu L⁻¹). After this period, the ciliate biomass content

reduced. At 96 h of bioassay, under 0.001, 0.009, 0.05 and 0.1 mg Cu L⁻¹ exposure, biomass contents were 3.33, 7.27, 2.30 and $2.50 \times 10^2 \ \mu g \ C \ cm^{-3}$. In counterpart, contact with 1.0 mg Cu L⁻¹, the biomass content was lower than technical detection during bioassay (Figure 1b).

The biomass content of naturally associated bacteria reached a higher content in the same bioassay with Cu. In control, in 0 h was 1.20×10^{-2} µg C cm⁻³ and enhanced to 1.14×10^{-1} µg C cm⁻³ at 72 h. With 0.001 and 0.009 mg Cu L⁻¹ at 0 h started





with 1.00 and 1.60x10⁻² μ g C cm⁻³ and enhanced to the highest content at 24 h, with 6.4 and 4.23x10⁻² μ g C cm⁻³, reducing biomasses contents to 2.27 and 3.17x10⁻² μ g C cm⁻³. Under 0.05 and 0.1 mg Cu L⁻¹ exposure, the biomass content from 24-96h was 6.15-3.18x10⁻² μ g C cm⁻³ (0.05) and 4.23-3.81x10⁻² μ g C cm⁻³ (0.1 mg Cu L⁻¹). With 1.0 mg Cu L⁻¹ the highest biomass content was pointed and equal control content at 48-72 h, with 7.90x10⁻² and 1.14x10⁻¹ μ g C cm⁻³, respectively (Figure 2b).

ANOVA statistical analysis showed significant differences in the variation of biomass produced by

the organisms and between bioassays with Cu and Zn (n=210; p≤0.007). Tukey post hoc test pointed out significant differences in concentrations of 0.1 mg L⁻¹ (Zn and Cu) and predetermined content by CONAMA 357 for Zn and Cu (5.0 and 1.0 mg L⁻¹). The LD50 stipulated was 1.17 mg Zn L⁻¹ (Z= 324.7; Standard Error= 0.004; p<0.05; 95% Confidence Interval, 1.16 and 1.17 mg Zn L⁻¹) and 0.90 mg Cu L⁻¹ (Z= 1132.25; Standard Error= 0.001; p< 0.05; 95% Confidence Interval= 0.891 and 0.894 mg Cu L⁻¹).

DISCUSSION

Ciliates connect bacterial biomass production to high trophic level (AZAM *et al.*, 1983; POMEROY *et al.*, 2007) and may biomagnify Zn and Cu (FERNANDEZ-LEBORANS & NOVILLO, 1996; MADONI & ROMEO, 2006; MARTÍN-GONZÁLEZ *et al.*, 2006). However, there is a lack of information available on pollution resistance of ciliated protozoa, mainly with Zn and Cu (VIEIRA & VOLESKY, 2000). These metals are trace elements, but in low concentrations contents are toxic and harmful to microzooplankton and microzoobenthos (FERNANDEZ-LEBORANS & NOVILLO, 1996; MADONI & ROMEO, 2006).

The pollution of Guanabara Bay leads the selection of some organisms, like *D. appendiculata*, and their naturally associated bacteria (NEVO *et al.*, 1983; NEVO *et al.*, 1986). This selection prioritized the tolerance of organisms, as proposed by HARRISON *et al.* (2007). However, the data showed by the works of SOBRINHO DA SILVA *et al.* (2008) and SABADINI-SANTOS *et al.* (2014) indicated that the bacterial physiologic status of sampled area is near the limit of tolerance and loss of bacterial physiologic diversity. It may be possible that some microorganisms of this bay joined a co-resistance to survive on sediments with high metals contents (256.67 mg Zn kg⁻¹ and 45.00 mg Cu kg⁻¹) due to connection of their physiological apparatus.

Microorganisms maintained the microbial loop influences without supplementary nutrients along 96 h of the bioassay. The Zn and Cu were free in the medium or closely associated with organisms, attached in bacterial biofilm (FLEMMING & WINGENDER, 2010; GONZÁLEZ *et al.*, 2010) and, probably in the ciliate mucus (GÖRTZ, 2006). The metals significantly positively influenced *D. appendiculata* biomass in a concentrationdependent manner. In this bioassay, they may Zn and Cu tolerate up to 0.09 mg L⁻¹, demonstrated by their regular biomass production after 24 h, and it resisted up to 0.1 mg L⁻¹ for metal exposition. Metals in higher concentrations are lethal, but microorganisms have evolved various mechanisms to resist the heavy metal stress due to the selective pressure of the metal (Harrison et al., 2007), such induction of metallothionein (KIM et al., 2011). Some reports demonstrated the effect of Zn and Cu on cell growth or viability for 24 h or 48 h. PARKER (1979), MADONI et al. (1992) and MADONI & ROMEO (2006) suggested the survival rate of ciliate species was reduced remarkably after heavy metal exposure (Cu, Cr, Ni, Pb, Zn and Cd) for 24 h. KIM et al. (2011) showed toxic effects of heavy metals on population growth of *E. crassus* with inhibition of 50% growth for 48 h. However, we observed in bioassay a resistance that enables *D. appendiculata* to survive and to produce biomass above the detection limit of the technique and in higher concentrations than in the control.

Bacteria may reduce their metal damage through active uptake or biosorption of several toxic metals by the exopolysaccharide (EPS) (GADD & GRIFFITHS, 1977; KIRCHMAN, 2000; MATZ & KJELLEBERG, 2005; MORILLO PÉREZ *et al.*, 2008) and can have higher resistance than ciliates. Bacteria represent the basal element in the food chain, and they are very essential for the survival of *D. appendiculata* (AZAM *et al.*, 1983; POMEROY *et al.*, 2007). These processes can facilitate the bioaccumulation of metals in other organisms of the trophic web (HASSEN *et al.*, 1998; KENNISH, 2002).

MADONI postulated in some publications (MADONI *et al.*, 1992; MADONI, 2000; MADONI & ROMEO, 2006) that simple washing could remove the naturally associated bacteria from ciliate protists. However, they remained associated with *D. appendicuata*, and throughout the bioassay occurred to the enhancement of bacterial biomass content. This process demonstrates the close relationship between protists and bacteria in the microbial loop (MATZ & KJELLEBERG, 2005).

The ciliates have been identified as significant sources of regenerated nitrogen (BODE *et al.*, 2005), and ciliates and bacteria fulfilled their function in the microbial loop (POMEROY *et al.*, 2007; FENCHEL, 2008). In the presence of Zn and Cu, the naturally associated bacteria may be able to grow vigorously using nitrogen compounds released by ciliates as an energy source (KIRCHMAN, 2000; HAHN & HÖFLE, 2001). Their biomass content increased, and they are resistant to metal tested according to Harrison *et al.* (2007).

CONCLUSION

D. appendiculata are tolerant to 0.09 mg L⁻¹ and resistant to 0.1 mg L⁻¹ after 24 h to Zn-Cu probably due to previous selection on Guanabara Bay. Their associated bacteria are resistant too and important in ciliate biomass production. This work indicated that some microorganism in the base of microbial loop works during Zn and Cu exposition, including at the Zn limit concentrations established by CONAMA, but ciliate biomass is strongly reduced by these higher concentrations. However, the Guanabara Bay is seriously polluted, even losing its microbial diversity. This work serves to indicate the direction of further work with the focus of operation at the base of the microbial loop during the stress of pollutants, which can generate new tools for bioremediation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by grants from Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) and its scholarship program, Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq).

REFERENCES

- Adriano, D.C. 2001. Trace elements in terrestrial environments: biogeochemistry, bioavailability, and risks of metals. Springer, 533 p.
- AMADOR, E.D.S. 2012. BACIA DA BAÍA DE GUANABARA: CARACTERÍSTICAS GEOAMBIENTAIS E ECOSSISTEMAS. INTERCIÊNCIA, 432 P.
- BAPTISTA NETO, J.A.; GINGELE, F.X.; LEIPE, T. & BREHME, I. 2006. Spatial distribution of heavy metals in surficial sediments from Guanabara Bay: Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Environmental Geology 49: 1051-1063.
- BAPTISTA NETO, J.A.; PEIXOTO, T.C.; SMITH, B.J.;
 MCALISTER, J.J.; PATCHINEELAM, S.M.;
 PATCHINEELAM, S.R. & FONSECA, E.M. 2013.
 Geochronology and heavy metal flux to
 Guanabara Bay, Rio de Janeiro state: a
 preliminary study. Anais da Academia
 Brasileira de Ciências 85: 1317-1327.
- BLISS, C.I. 1934a. The method of probits. **Science 79**: 38-39.
- BLISS, C.I. 1934b. The method of probits A correction. **Science 79**: 409-410.
- BODE, A.; ÁLVAREZ-OSSORIO, M.T.; GONZÁLEZ, N.; LORENZO, J.; RODRÍGUEZ, C.; VARELA, M. &

VARELA, M.M. 2005. Seasonal variability of plankton blooms in the Ria de Ferrol (NW Spain): II. Plankton abundance, composition and biomass. **Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 63**: 285-300.

- BRASIL (2005) CONAMA 357: classificação dos corpos de água e diretrizes ambientais para o seu enquadramento, condições e padrões de lançamento de efluentes. Conselho Nacional do Meio Ambiente, 1-27.
- CARLUCCI, A.F.; CRAVEN, D.B.; ROBERTSON, K.J. & WILLIAMS, P.M. 1986. Surface-film microbial populations: diel amino acid metabolism, carbon utilization, and growth rates. **Marine Biology 92**: 289-297.
- CARON, D.A. 1991. Evolving role of protozoa in aquatic nutrient cycles. *In*: Reid, P.C.; Turley, C.M. & Burkill, P.H. (eds) **Protozoa and their** role in marine processes. Springer-Verlag, 387-415.
- CARREIRA, R.S.; WAGENER, A.L.R.; READMAN, J.W.; FILEMAN, T.W.; MACKO, S.A. & VEIGA, Á. 2002. Changes in the sedimentary organic carbon pool of a fertilized tropical estuary, Guanabara Bay, Brazil: an elemental, isotopic and molecular marker approach. Marine Chemistry 79: 207-227.
- CETESB 2012. **Guia nacional de coleta e preservação de amostras: água, sedimento, comunidades aquáticas e efluentes líquidos**. Companhia Ambiental do Estado de São Paulo & Agência Nacional de Águas, 326 p.
- CHRISTAKIU, U.; VAN WAMBEKE, F. & DOLAN, J.R. 1999. Nanoflagellates (mixotrophs, heterotrophs, and autotrophs) in the oligotrophic eastern Mediterranean: standing stocks, bacterivory and relationships with bacterial production. **Marine Ecology Progress Series 181**: 297–

307.

- CORNELIS, R. & NORDBERG, M. 2007. General chemistry, sampling, analytical methods, and speciation. *In*: Nordberg, G.F.; Fowler, B.A.; Nordberg, M. & Friberg, L.T. (eds) Handbook on toxicological of metals. Academic Press, 12-35.
- COSTA, C.R.; OLIVI, P.; BOTTA, C.M.R. & ESPINDOLA, E.L.G. 2008. A toxicidade em ambientes aquáticos: discussão e métodos de avaliação. Química Nova 31: 1820-1830.
- CURDS, C.R. 1975. A Guide to the species of the genus Euplotes (Hypotrichida, Ciliated). Bulletin of British Museum (Natural History) Zoology 28: 1-68.
- CURDS, C.R. & WU, I.C.H. 1983. A review of the Euplotidae (Hypotrichida, Ciliophora).
 Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Zoology 44: 191-247.
- DA SILVA, G.O.A.; BITENCOURT, J.A.P.; DA SILVA, I.C.; PEREIRA, D.D.C.; DA SILVA NETO, I.D. & CRAPEZ, M.A.C. 2014. Influence of copper on *Euplotes* sp. and associated bacterial population. Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research 42: 381-385.
- DOLAN, J.R. 1997. Phosphorus and ammonia excretion by planktonic protists. **Marine Geology 139**: 109-122.
- DRAGESCO, J. & DRAGESCO KERNÉIS, A. 1986. Ciliés libres de l'Afrique intertropicale: introduction à la connaissance et à l'étude des Ciliés. Orstom, 559 p.
- FEEMA 1990. Projeto de recuperação gradual da Baía de Guanabara. Fundação Estadual de Engenharia do Meio Ambiente, 203 p.
- FENCHEL, T. 1987. Ecology of protozoa: the biology of free-living phagotrophic protist. Science Tech, 196 p.

- FENCHEL, T. 2008. The microbial loop 25 years later. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 366: 99-103.
- FERNANDEZ-LEBORANS, G. & NOVILLO, A. 1996. Protozoan communities and contamination of several fluvial systems. **Water Environment Research 68**: 311-319.
- FISHER, N.S. & REINFELDER, J.R. 1995. The trophic transfer of metals in marine systems. *In*: Tessier, A. & Turner, D.R. (eds) Metal speciation and bioavailability in aquatic system. Wiley, 363–406.
- FISHER, N.S.; STUPAKOFF, I.; SAÑUDO-WILHELMY, S.; WANG, W.X.; TEYSSIÉ, J.L.; FOWLER, S.W. & CRUSIUS, J. 2000. Trace metals in marine copepods: a field test of a bioaccumulation model coupled to laboratory uptake kinetics data. **Marine Ecology - Progress Series 194**: 211-218.
- FLEMMING, H.-C. & WINGENDER, J. 2010. The biofilm matrix. **Nature Reviews Microbiology 8**: 623-633.
- FONSECA, E.M.; BAPTISTA NETO, J.A.; SILVA, C.G.; MCALISTER, J.J.; SMITH, B.J. & FERNANDEZ, M.A. 2013. Stormwater impact in Guanabara Bay (Rio de Janeiro): evidences of seasonal variability in the dynamic of the sediment heavy metals. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 130: 161-168.
- FRANKEL, J. & HECKMANN, K. 1968. A Simplified Chatton-Lwoff silver impregnation procedure for use in experimental studies with ciliates. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society 87: 317-321.
- GADD, G. & GRIFFITHS, A. 1977. Microorganisms and heavy metal toxicity. **Microbial Ecology 4**: 303-317.

GOMES, E.A.T.; SANTOS, V.S.D.; TENENBAUM, D.R.

& VILLAC, M.C. 2007. Protozooplankton characterization of two contrasting sites in a tropical coastal ecosystem (Guanabara Bay, RJ). **Brazilian Journal of Oceanography 55**: 29-38.

- GONZÁLEZ, A.G.; SHIROKOVA, L.S.; POKROVSKY, O.S.; EMNOVA, E.E.; MARTÍNEZ, R.E.; SANTANA-CASIANO, J.M.; GONZÁLEZ-DÁVILA, M. & POKROVSKI, G.S. 2010. Adsorption of copper on *Pseudomonas aureofaciens*: Protective role of surface exopolysaccharides. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 350: 305-314.
- GÖRTZ, H.-D. 2006. Symbiotic associations between ciliates and prokaryotes. *In*: Dworkin, M.;
 Falkow, S.; Rosenberg, E.; Schleifer, K.-H. & Stackebrandt, E. (eds) **The Prokaryotes**. Springer, 364-402.
- GUERRA, L.; SAVERGNINI, F.; SILVA, F.; BERNARDES, M. & CRAPEZ, M. 2011. Biochemical and microbiological tools for the evaluation of environmental quality of a coastal lagoon system in Southern Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Biology 71: 461-468.
- HAHN, M.W. & HÖFLE, M.G. 2001. Grazing of protozoa and its effect on populations of aquatic bacteria. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 35: 113-121.
- HARRISON, J.J.; CERI, H. & TURNER, R.J. 2007. Multimetal resistance and tolerance in microbial biofilms. Nature Reviews Microbiology 5: 928-938.
- HASSEN, A.; SAIDI, N.; CHERIF, M. & BOUDABOUS, A.
 1998. Resistance of environmental bacteria to heavy metals. Bioresource Technology 64: 7-15.
- JICA 1994. The study on recuperation of the Guanabara Bay ecosystem. Japan

International Cooperation Agency. Kokusai Kogyo Co., Ltd.p.

- JUMARS, P.A.; PENRY, D.L.; BAROSS, J.A.; PERRY, M.J. & FROST, B. 1989. Closing the microbial loop: dissolved carbon pathway to heterotrophic bacteria from incomplete ingestion, digestion and absorption in animals. Deep Sea Research 36: 483–495.
- KENNISH, M.J. 2002. Environmental threats and environmental future of estuaries. Environmental Conservation 29: 78-107.
- KEPNER, R.L. & PRATT, J.R. 1994. Use of fluorochromes for direct enumeration of total bacteria in environmental samples: past and present. Microbiological Reviews 58: 603-615.
- KIM, S.-H.; JUNG, M.-Y. & LEE, Y.-M. 2011. Effect of heavy metals on the antioxidant enzymes in the marine ciliate *Euplotes crassus*. **Toxicology** and Environmental Health Sciences 3: 213-219.
- KIRCHMAN, D.L. 2000. Microbial ecology of the oceans. John Wiley & Sons, 552 p.
- KJERFVE, B.; RIBEIRO, C.H.A.; DIAS, G.T.M.; FILIPPO,
 A.M. & DA SILVA QUARESMA, V. 1997.
 Oceanographic characteristics of an impacted coastal bay: Baía de Guanabara, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Continental Shelf Research 17: 1609-1643.
- LYNN, D.H. 2008. The ciliated protozoa: characterization, classification, and guide to the literature. Springer, 605 p.
- MADONI, P. 2000. The acute toxicity of nickel to freshwater ciliates. **Environmental Pollution 109**: 53-59.
- MADONI, P.; DAVOLI, D. & GORBI, G. 1994. Acute toxicity of lead, chromium, and other heavy metals to ciliates from activated sludge plants.Bulletin of Environmental Contamination

and Toxicology 53: 420-425.

- MADONI, P.; ESTEBAN, G. & GORBI, G. 1992. Acute toxicity of cadmium, copper, mercury, and zinc to ciliates from activated sludge plants.
 Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 49: 900-905.
- MADONI, P. & ROMEO, M.G. 2006. Acute toxicity of heavy metals towards freshwater ciliated protists. **Environmental Pollution 141**: 1-7.
- MARTÍN-GONZÁLEZ, A.; DÍAZ, S.; BORNIQUEL,
 S.; GALLEGO, A. & GUTIÉRREZ, J.C. 2006.
 Cytotoxicity and bioaccumulation of heavy metals by ciliated protozoa isolated from urban wastewater treatment plants.
 Research in Microbiology 157: 108-118.
- MATZ, C. & KJELLEBERG, S. 2005. Off the hook how bacteria survive protozoan grazing. **Trends in Microbiology 13**: 302-307.
- MAUCLAIRE, L.; PELZ, O.; THULLNER, M.; ABRAHAM,
 W.-R. & ZEYER, J. 2003. Assimilation of toluene carbon along a bacteria–protist food chain determined by ¹³C-enrichment of biomarker fatty acids. Journal of Microbiological Methods 55: 635-649.
- MIRRETT, S. 1982. Acridine orange stain. Infection Control 3: 250-252.
- MORILLO PÉREZ, J.A.; GARCÍA-RIBERA, R.; QUESADA, T.; AGUILERA, M.; RAMOS-CORMENZANA, A. & MONTEOLIVA-SÁNCHEZ, M. 2008. Biosorption of heavy metals by the exopolysaccharide produced by *Paenibacillus jamilae*. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 24: 2699-2704.
- NAGATA, T. & KIRCHMAN, D.L. 1992a. Release of dissolved organic matter by heterotrophic protozoa: implications for microbial food webs. Archiv fuer Hydrobiologie, Beiheft Ergebnisse Limnologie 35: 99–109.

- NAGATA, T. & KIRCHMAN, D.L. 1992b. Release of macromolecular organic complexes by heterotrophic marine flagellates. **Marine Ecology Progress Series 83**: 233-240.
- NEVO, E.; LAVIE, E. & BEN-SHLOMO, R. 1983. Selection of allelic isozyme polymorphisms in marine organisms: pattern, theory, and application.
 Isozymes; Current Topics in Biological and Medical Research 10: 69-92.
- NEVO, E.; NOY, R.; LAVIE, B.; BEILES, A. & MUCHTAR, S. 1986. Genetic diversity and resistance to marine pollution. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 29: 139-144.
- NORDBERG, G.F.; FOWLER, B.A.; NORDBERG, M. & FRIBERG, L. 2007. Handbook on the toxicology of metals. Academic Press, 1024 p.
- PARKER, J.G. 1979. Toxic effects of heavy metals upon cultures of *Uronema marinum* (Ciliophora: Uronematidae). **Marine Biology 54**: 17-24.
- POMEROY, L.R.; WILLIAMS, P.J.I.; AZAM, F. & HOBBIE, J.E. 2007. The microbial loop. **Oceanography 20**: 28-33.
- RIBEIRO, C.H. & KJERFVE, B. 2002. Anthropogenic influence on the water quality in Guanabara Bay, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. **Regional Environmental Change 3**: 13-19.
- SABADINI-SANTOS, E.; DA SILVA, T.S.; LOPES-ROSA, T.D.; MENDONÇA-FILHO, J.G.; SANTELLI, R.E. & CRAPEZ, M.A.C. 2014. Microbial activities and bioavailable concentrations of Cu, Zn, and Pb in sediments from a tropic and eutrothicated bay. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution 225: 1-11.

SHERR, E.B. & SHERR, B.F. 1994. Bacterivory and

herbivory: key roles of phagotrophic protists in pelagic food webs. **Microbial Ecology 28**: 223-235.

- SILVA-NETO, I.D.D. 2000. Improvement of silver impregnation technique (Protargol) to obtain morphological features of protists ciliates, flagellates and opalinates. **Revista Brasileira de Biologia 60**: 451-459.
- SOBRINHO DA SILVA, F.; PEREIRA, D.D.C.; NUÑES, L.S.;
 KREPSK, N.; FONTANA, L.F.; BAPTISTA NETO, J.A. &
 CRAPEZ, M.A.C. 2008. Bacteriological study of the superficial sediments of Guanabara bay,
 RJ, Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Oceanography 56: 13-22.
- SOBRINHO DA SILVA, F.; SANTOS, E.S.; LAUT, L.L.M.;
 SANCHEZ-NUÑES, M.L.; BAPTISTA NETO,
 J.A.; MENDONÇA-FILHO, J.G. & CRAPEZ, M.
 2010. Geomicrobiology and biochemical composition of two sediment cores from
 Jurujuba Sound Guanabara Bay SE Brazil.
 Anuário Instituto de Geociências 33: 73-84.
- TUFFRAU, M. 1960. Révision du genre Euplotes, fondée sur la comparaison des structures superficielles. **Hydrobiologia 15**: 1–77.
- VIEIRA, R.H.S.F. & VOLESKY, B. 2000. Biosorption: a solution to pollution? International Microbiology 3: 17–24.
- WANG, W.-X. & RAINBOW, P.S. 2005. Influence of metal exposure history on trace metal uptake and accumulation by marine invertebrates.
 Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 61: 145-159.