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**1 INTRODUCTION**

Elaboration of the full text, following the style of this document (Arial, 9; spaced, 6; single interline; paragraph, 0.75, condensed in 0,2) and, preferably, also the following structure:

* Presentation of the theme (idea)
* Postulation of the problem
* Postulation of objectives
* General objective
* Specific objectives
* Context of the conducting research/study
* Place
* Time
* Justification (why to do this study)
* Relevance and expected contributions
* Theoretical
* Practical
* Research design (its central concepts and logic of articulation)
* Description of the main concepts of the research and how they will be related
* Assumptions
* Hypotheses (suposition)
* Method
* Description of the way the research was carried out, with emphasis on how the logic of theoretical categotics was operationalized in practice
* Results and conclusions
* Organization of the text (written document)
* Description of how this text/document is organized

**2 THEORETICAL REVIEW**

**2.1 Theme 1**

To present, in the text, the state of the art of the theme that fits the concepts/categories specifically studied, following the structure suggested below[[1]](#footnote-1):

* Theme 1
* Its history (historicity of the concepts)
* Main authors: 1rst generation, ex.1980
* How the debate evolved
* Contributions and limitations of these authors and their concepts
* Unsolved issues
* Same for (2nd generation / 2nd round)
* Same for (3rd generation / 3ª round)
* Same for (4th generation / 4ª round)
* How current followers study the theme?
* What remains to be done (problems to be searche)?
* Recent research
* How the classic concepts were applied it?
* To give examples of recente studies
* To show clearly what was left out (still to be studied)

**2.2 Theme 2**

Same to theme 1 (reproduce the same logic -analytical structure- to produce the state of the art of the 2nd theme)

**2.3 Synthesis**

Synthesis, in full text, comparing the previous concepts in a proper conceptual scheme/model, of how it solves/replies with the identified questions (hypothesis) raised from the literature.

Visual representation of the own conceptual scheme/model that serves as a research design.



**Figure 1.** Visual representation of tourism field.

*Source*: own elaboration.

**3. METHODOLOGY**

Suggested structure for writing this section:

* Nature of the research
* Kind of research
* Method (approach)
* Object of study
* Analysis unit
* Study locus
* Sample
* Sources and forms of data collection
* Data collection sources
* Primary: local actors (mixed, closed or open questionnaire)
* Secondary (previous studies).
* Historical data
* Indicators
* Reports
* Websites
* Previous research
* Etc
* Form of data collection
* Questionnaire (for whom and why)
* Interview script (for whom and why)
* Technique of data analysis
* Categorisation and data analysis procedures
* Study restrictions

**4. RESULTS ANALYSIS**

**4.1 Locus and Object of study**

*4.1.1 Study context*

Suggested structure for writing this section:

* Description of the object
* Research locus (study context)
* Unit of analysis
* Discuss each of the analytical categories (questions) of the script (research tool)

**4.2 Discussion of the Data**

Suggested structure for writing this section:

* How to present the discussion (trilogy: theory - data - inference)
* What to recover from the theory
* What to point out as data
* How to draw logical inferences
* Expansion of the trilogy (i.e. apply the same reasoning scheme to each of the categories/variables under study)
* Comparisons between sets of categories
* Overview of all of them (illustration - table and/or figures)
* Check the hypothesis/supposition and explain, based on theoretical and empirical evidence, listed above, how and why one can make such an inference extracted by the author in relation to the research.

**5. FINAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Suggested structure for writing this section:

* Achieving the goal and showing if and how it was reached
* Bring back the main concepts
* Highlight, briefly, the main results of each case/category
* Explain how and why it relates to the theory
* Point out the restrictions of the study
* Point out questions for future studies
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Empirical research articles should have between 7.000 and 9.000 words.

Foreign words (words written in other language than that in which the text is written) should come in italics.
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